Minutes of the Stawley Parish Council Meeting held at Appley Pavilion at 7:30 pm on the 10th February 2022

| Parish (| Councillors present: | Chairman, Paul Musgrove (PM) Simon Buttars (SB) Matt Vellacott (MV) Dave Mansell (District Councillor) James Hunt (County Councillor) | · · · · |
|---|--|---|----------|
| Others | present:- | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | · · |
| | Iter | n | Action |
| - | ogies for Absence Wall (CW), Pat Sweet (PS |) | |
| 2. Decla None | rations of Interest | | |
| | es of Last meeting were accepted as a true | record and signed by the Chairman. | |
| 4. Matte None. | rs Arising not Covered E | Elsewhere | |
| • Th sh • Th | be balance in the account in the council agreed that the ould be paid at the same in the same in the same in the council agreed that the same in the same in the same in the council agreed that a decouncil agreed that agreed that a decouncil agreed that a decouncil agreed that a decouncil agreed that agreed that agreed that a decouncil agreed that agreed thagreed that agreed that agreed that agreed thag | burial ground payments for 2021 rate as 2020: 5 | PS PS |
| at the of Gu loc It i giv ind Co inf ap | cinerator at Wasteology, an incinerator being adder cal people through an artic s understood that Somers ve their view on a "Scoping cinerator. The Parish Cou county Council or District Co | Greenham Quarry – The possibility d to the waste treatment plant at e to the notice of the Council and ele in the Wellington Weekly News. Set County Council has been asked to g Opinion Request" (SOR) for the uncil is alarmed that neither the buncil planning officers saw fit to local District Councillor about this Furthermore the request that | |

| Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) must be rebutted. More vehicles on a road with difficult bends will make an impact on the environment so must be considered in the EIA. The statement in the SOR that "the site has no ecological interest" must be rebutted; the surrounding quarry walls possibly have great ecological interest which may be affected by further development on this site. Finally the statement that "there will be no significant impacts associated with socio economic matters" is seen by the Council as totally false. Any further development on this site will impact the local community and must be considered in an EIA. The Clerk was instructed to draft a letter to the County Council to express these strongly held views. | РМ |
|--|----|
| 7. Reports from Members Roads – Nothing to report Footpaths – Nothing to report | |
| 8. Any other Business The recycling lorries continue to distribute some of their collected waste on local roads after collection due to their bins being full and doors left open between collections. This has already been taken up with Somerset Waste but further action is required to reduce the problem. DM knew of this problem having been briefed by a parishioner before the meeting and as he has a background in waste operations will be taking the matter up with Somerset Waste. JH briefed the meeting on happenings at the County level and DM did the same for those at the District level. | DM |
| Date of Next Meeting 10th March at 7:00pm with a visit by a representative of Airband. | |

Meeting closed at 8:19 pm